UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,551	09/05/2003	Diana K. Smetters	PARC-DA3162Q	8170
35699 PVF PARC	7590 05/10/201	EXAMINER		
c/o PARK, VAUGHAN & FLEMING LLP			NGUYEN, KHAI MINH	
2820 FIFTH STREET DAVIS, CA 95618-7759			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/10/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto-incoming@parklegal.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/656,551	SMETTERS ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	KHAI M. NGUYEN	2617		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 D</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,4,7,10,13,16,19-26,28 and 29 is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1, 4, 7, 10, 13, 16, 19-26, and 28-29 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration. is/are rejected.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the Idrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ition is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/656,551 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 7, 10, and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claims are directed towards non-statutory subject matter.

With respect to claims 7, 10, and 22-23, the claims are not limited to tangible embodiments. The claims recited a computer-readable storage medium. It can be reasonably interpreted that the computer-readable storage medium would include embodiments including propagation media, such as carrier waves, which fail to establish a statutory category of invention. Amending the specification as well as the claim to recite "a <u>non-transitory</u> computer-readable storage medium" is believed to be sufficient to overcome this rejection.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 4, 7, 10, 13, 16, 19-26, and 28-29 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claims 1, 7, and 13 have been amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

Claims 1, 4, 7, 10, 13, 16, 19-26, and 28-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Balfanz et al. (Talking to Strangers: Authentication in Ad-Hoc Wireless Networks), in view of Hermann, Reto (EP 1024626), in view of Lowensohn et al. (U.S.Pub-20040230809), and further in view of Weiner et al. (U.S.Pub-20060030759).

Regarding claim 1, Balfanz teaches a computer controlled method comprising:

establishing communication between the resident alert device (B) and a provisioning device (A) over a preferred channel (fig.3, [3.2]), the resident alert device (B) configured to send the security credential to the provisioning device (A) over the preferred channel (fig.3, [3.2]) and to receive a commitment from the provisioning device over the preferred channel (fig.3, [3.2] A sends its public key across the wireless channel. B verifies it again the commitment, and then uses it to encrypt Sb and returns the result to A);

receiving from the provisioning device (fig.5) over the preferred channel at least one of provisioning information (fig.5, [3.2] public key) or additional application-specific information, site-specific information, network-specific information, or other information that can be used by the resident alert device (fig.5-6, [3.2], [4.1] KM gives the appropriate multicast keys to every group member)..; and

Balfanz fails to specifically disclose wherein the provisioning information includes a credential and wherein the credential facilitates the resident alert device to become a member of a secure credential infrastructure.

However, Hermann teaches wherein the provisioning information includes a credential (fig.1-2, [0020-[0022]) and wherein the credential facilitates the resident alert device to become a member of a secure credential infrastructure (fig.1-2, [0020]-[0022]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Hermann to Balfanz to prevent any accidental information exchange.

Balfanz and Hermann fail to specifically disclose providing a security credential to a resident alert device, wherein the resident alert device is inserted in a domicile for a resident covered by a secure community alert system to receive information from an center; and automatically configuring the resident alert device for receiving information over a secure communication channel responsive to the provisioning information.

However, Lowensohn teaches providing a security credential to a resident alert device (fig.4, [0059]; provides the pubic key infrastructure upon which the user's access credentials are based...), wherein the resident alert device (fig.4: user 404) is inserted in a domicile for a resident covered by a secure community alert system (fig.4) to receive information from an center ([0049], [0051]; the BARB Base 110 will be able to uniquely identify and communicate with multiple BARB Badges 100 within the RF range. The authentication and identification of each BARB Badge 100 is based on the unique number assigned to each BARB Badge 100 and securely communicated to the BARB Base 110: notify the user of the BARD badge 100...); and automatically configuring the

resident alert device (fig.1, barb badge 100) for receiving information over a secure communication channel responsive to the provisioning information (fig.1, and 4, [0042]-[0043], [0059]; The BARB Badge 100 can securely communicate with the BARB Base 110 when within range).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Lowensohn to Balfanz and Hermann to detect the user orientation in the environment and security the information of user.

Balfanz, Herman, and Lowensohn fail to specifically disclose an emergency operation center.

However, Weiner teaches an emergency operation center (fig.4, [0069]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Weiner to Balfanz, Hermann, and Lowensohn to detect the identity of the particular patient and inform the central station of the identity of that particular patient.

Regarding claims 4, 10, 16, Lowensohn further teaches transmitting information from the resident alert device over the secure communication channel (see Lowensohn, fig.1, [0009], [0271]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Lowensohn to Balfanz and

Hermann to detect the user orientation in the environment and security the information of user.

Regarding claim 7, Balfanz teaches a computer-readable storage medium storing instructions that when executed by a computer cause the computer to perform a method comprising steps of:

establishing communication between the resident alert device (B) and a provisioning device (A) over a preferred channel (fig.3, [3.2]), the resident alert device (B) configured to send the security credential to the provisioning device (A) over the preferred channel (fig.3, [3.2]) and to receive a commitment from the provisioning device over the preferred channel (fig.3, [3.2] A sends its public key across the wireless channel. B verifies it again the commitment, and then uses it to encrypt Sb and returns the result to A);

receiving from the provisioning device (fig.5) over the preferred channel at least one of provisioning information (fig.5, [3.2] public key) or additional application-specific information, site-specific information, network-specific information, or other information that can be used by the resident alert device (fig.5-6, [3.2], [4.1] KM gives the appropriate multicast keys to every group member)..; and

Balfanz fails to specifically disclose wherein the provisioning information includes a credential and wherein the credential facilitates the resident alert device to become a member of a secure credential infrastructure.

However, Hermann teaches wherein the provisioning information includes a credential (fig.1-2, [0020-[0022]) and wherein the credential facilitates the resident alert device to become a member of a secure credential infrastructure (fig.1-2, [0020-[0022]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Hermann to Balfanz to prevent any accidental information exchange.

Balfanz and Hermann fail to specifically disclose providing a security credential to a resident alert device, wherein the resident alert device is inserted in a domicile for a resident covered by a secure community alert system to receive information from an center; and automatically configuring the resident alert device for receiving information over a secure communication channel responsive to the provisioning information.

However, Lowensohn teaches providing a security credential to a resident alert device (fig.4, [0059]; provides the pubic key infrastructure upon which the user's access credentials are based...), wherein the resident alert device (fig.4: user 404) is inserted in a domicile for a resident covered by a secure community alert system (fig.4) to receive information from an center ([0049], [0051]; the BARB Base 110 will be able to uniquely identify and communicate with multiple BARB Badges 100 within the RF range. The authentication and identification of each BARB Badge 100 is based on the unique number assigned to each BARB Badge 100 and securely communicated to the BARB Base 110; notify the user of the BARD badge 100...); and automatically configuring the resident alert device (fig.1, barb badge 100) for receiving information over a secure

communication channel responsive to the provisioning information (fig.1, and 4, [0042]- [0043], [0059]; The BARB Badge 100 can securely communicate with the BARB Base 110 when within range).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Lowensohn to Balfanz and Hermann to detect the user orientation in the environment and security the information of user.

Balfanz, Herman, and Lowensohn fail to specifically disclose an emergency operation center.

However, Weiner teaches an emergency operation center (fig.4, [0069]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Weiner to Balfanz, Hermann, and Lowensohn to detect the identity of the particular patient and inform the central station of the identity of that particular patient.

Regarding claim 13, Balfanz teaches an apparatus comprising:

at least one port configured to establish a preferred channel (fig.3, [3.2]);

a preferred channel communication mechanism configured to establish communication between the resident alert device (B) and a provisioning device (A) over a preferred channel (fig.3, [3.2]), the resident alert device (B) configured to send the security credential to the provisioning device (A) over the preferred channel (fig.3, [3.2])

and to receive a commitment from the provisioning device over the preferred channel (fig.3, [3.2] A sends its public key across the wireless channel. B verifies it again the commitment, and then uses it to encrypt Sb and returns the result to A);

receiver mechanism configured to receive from the provisioning device (fig.5) over the preferred channel at least one of provisioning information (fig.5, [3.2] public key) or additional application-specific information, site-specific information, network-specific information, or other information that can be used by the resident alert device (fig.5-6, [3.2], [4.1] KM gives the appropriate multicast keys to every group member)..; and

Balfanz fails to specifically disclose wherein the provisioning information includes a credential and wherein the credential facilitates the resident alert device to become a member of a secure credential infrastructure.

However, Hermann teaches wherein the provisioning information includes a credential (fig.1-2, [0020-[0022]) and wherein the credential facilitates the resident alert device to become a member of a secure credential infrastructure (fig.1-2, [0020-[0022]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Hermann to Balfanz to prevent any accidental information exchange.

Balfanz and Hermann fail to specifically disclose a mechanism configured to provide a security credential to a resident alert device, wherein the resident alert device is inserted in a domicile for a resident covered by a secure community alert system to

Art Unit: 2617

receive information from an center; and automatically configuring the resident alert device for receiving information over a secure communication channel responsive to the provisioning information.

However, Lowensohn teaches a mechanism configured to provide a security credential to a resident alert device (fig.4, [0059]; provides the pubic key infrastructure upon which the user's access credentials are based...), wherein the resident alert device (fig.4: user 404) is inserted in a domicile for a resident covered by a secure community alert system (fig.4) to receive information from an center ([0049], [0051]; the BARB Base 110 will be able to uniquely identify and communicate with multiple BARB Badges 100 within the RF range. The authentication and identification of each BARB Badge 100 is based on the unique number assigned to each BARB Badge 100 and securely communicated to the BARB Base 110; notify the user of the BARD badge 100...); and automatically configuring the resident alert device (fig.1, barb badge 100) for receiving information over a secure communication channel responsive to the provisioning information (fig.1, and 4, [0042]-[0043], [0059]; The BARB Badge 100 can securely communicate with the BARB Base 110 when within range).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Lowensohn to Balfanz and Hermann to detect the user orientation in the environment and security the information of user.

Balfanz, Herman, and Lowensohn fail to specifically disclose an emergency operation center.

However, Weiner teaches an emergency operation center (fig.4, [0069]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Weiner to Balfanz, Hermann, and Lowensohn to detect the identity of the particular patient and inform the central station of the identity of that particular patient.

Regarding claim 19, Weiner further teaches the information received by the resident alert device is information from an emergency operation center ([0062]-[0063], [0069]).

Regarding claims 20, 22, 24, Balfanz teaches the preferred channel ([2.1]) comprises a single location-limited channel capable of communicating both from the wireless sensor (B) to the provisioning device (A) and from the provisioning device (A) to the wireless sensor (B) ([2.1] identification based on physical context (the printer in front of me, all the PDA's in the room, etc.)).

Regarding claims 21, 23, 25, Balfanz teaches the preferred channel ([02.1]) comprises two separate channels ([2.1] location-limited channels), including a first location-limited channel capable of communicating from the wireless sensor (B) to the provisioning device (A) and a second location-limited channel capable of communicating from the provisioning device (A) to the wireless sensor (B) ([2.1] for example, Anderson and Stajano use secret data).

Regarding claim 26, Weiner further teaches receiving the at least one of the provisioning information or additional application-specific information from the

Application/Control Number: 10/656,551 Page 12

Art Unit: 2617

provisioning device over at least one off a telephone network ([0062]-[0063], [0069]), or the Internet.

Regarding claims 28 and 29, Weiner further teaches receiving information from at least one of: emergency radio station, television station, cellular phone system, wired telephone system, or the Internet ([0062]-[0063], [0069]); and identifying an intended resident alert device at an emergency operation center, and transmitting information from the emergency operation center to the intended resident alert device ([0062]-[0063], [0069]).

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KHAI M. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)272-7923. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent P. Harper can be reached on 571.272.7605. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,551 Page 13

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/VINCENT P. HARPER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617

/Khai M Nguyen/ Examiner, Art Unit 2617

5/4/2010